

A computable bound of the essential spectral radius of finite range Metropolis-Hastings kernels

Loïc HERVÉ, and James LEDOUX *

November 24, 2016

Abstract

Let π be a positive continuous target density on \mathbb{R} . Let P be the Metropolis-Hastings operator on the Lebesgue space $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ corresponding to a proposal Markov kernel Q on \mathbb{R} . When using the quasi-compactness method to estimate the spectral gap of P , a mandatory first step is to obtain an accurate bound of the essential spectral radius $r_{ess}(P)$ of P . In this paper a computable bound of $r_{ess}(P)$ is obtained under the following assumption on the proposal kernel: Q has a bounded continuous density $q(x, y)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying the following finite range assumption : $|u| > s \Rightarrow q(x, x + u) = 0$ (for some $s > 0$). This result is illustrated with Random Walk Metropolis-Hastings kernels.

AMS subject classification : 60J10, 47B07

Keywords : Markov chain operator, Metropolis-Hastings algorithms, Spectral gap

1 Introduction

Let π be a positive distribution density on \mathbb{R} . Let $Q(x, dy) = q(x, y)dy$ be a Markov kernel on \mathbb{R} . Throughout the paper we assume that $q(x, y)$ satisfies the following finite range assumption: there exists $s > 0$ such that

$$|u| > s \implies q(x, x + u) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Let $T(x, dy) = t(x, y)dy$ be the nonnegative kernel on \mathbb{R} given by

$$t(x, y) := \min \left(q(x, y), \frac{\pi(y) q(y, x)}{\pi(x)} \right) \quad (2)$$

and define the associated Metropolis-Hastings kernel:

$$P(x, dy) := r(x) \delta_x(dy) + T(x, dy) \quad \text{with} \quad r(x) := 1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} t(x, y) dy, \quad (3)$$

*INSA de Rennes, IRMAR, F-35042, France; CNRS, UMR 6625, Rennes, F-35708, France; Université Européenne de Bretagne, France. {Loic.Herve,James.Ledoux}@insa-rennes.fr

where $\delta_x(dy)$ denotes the Dirac distribution at x . The associated Markov operator is still denoted by P , that is we set for every bounded measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (Pf)(x) = r(x)f(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) t(x, y) dy. \quad (4)$$

In the context of Monte Carlo Markov Chain methods, the kernel Q is called the proposal Markov kernel. We denote by $(\mathbb{L}^2(\pi), \|\cdot\|_2)$ the usual Lebesgue space associated with the probability measure $\pi(y)dy$. For convenience, $\|\cdot\|_2$ also denotes the operator norm on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, namely: if U is a bounded linear operator on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, then $\|U\|_2 := \sup_{\|f\|_2=1} \|Uf\|_2$. Since

$$t(x, y)\pi(x) = t(y, x)\pi(y), \quad (5)$$

we know that P is reversible with respect to π and that π is P -invariant (e.g. see [RR04]). Consequently P is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ and $\|P\|_2 = 1$. Now define the rank-one projector Π on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ by

$$\Pi f := \pi(f)1_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \text{with} \quad \pi(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \pi(x) dx.$$

Then the spectral radius of $P - \Pi$ equals to $\|P - \Pi\|_2$ since $P - \Pi$ is self-adjoint, and P is said to have the spectral gap property on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ if

$$\varrho_2 \equiv \varrho_2(P) := \|P - \Pi\|_2 < 1.$$

In this case the following property holds:

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\pi), \quad \|P^n f - \Pi f\|_2 \leq \varrho_2^n \|f\|_2. \quad (\text{SG}_2)$$

The spectral gap property on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ of a Metropolis-Hastings kernel is of great interest, not only due to the explicit geometrical rate given by (SG_2) , but also since it ensures that a central limit theorem (CLT) holds true for additive functional of the associated Metropolis-Hastings Markov chain under the expected second-order moment conditions, see [RR97]. Furthermore, the rate of convergence in the CLT is $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ under third-order moment conditions (as for the independent and identically distributed models), see details in [HP10, FHL12].

The quasi-compactness approach can be used to compute the rate $\varrho_2(P)$. This method is based on the notion of essential spectral radius. Indeed, first recall that the essential spectral radius of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, denoted by $r_{ess}(P)$, is defined by (e.g. see [Wu04] for details):

$$r_{ess}(P) := \lim_n (\inf \|P^n - K\|_2)^{1/n} \quad (6)$$

where the above infimum is taken over the ideal of compact operators K on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Note that the spectral radius of P is one. Then P is said to be quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ if $r_{ess}(P) < 1$. Second, if $r_{ess}(P) \leq \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, and the following properties hold: for every real number κ such that $\alpha < \kappa < 1$, the set \mathcal{U}_{κ} of the spectral values λ of P satisfying $\kappa \leq |\lambda| \leq 1$ is composed of finitely many eigenvalues of P , each of them having a finite multiplicity (e.g. see [Hen93] for details). Third, if P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ and satisfies usual aperiodicity and irreducibility conditions (e.g. see [MT93]), then $\lambda = 1$ is the only spectral value of P with modulus one and $\lambda = 1$ is a simple eigenvalue of P , so

that P has the spectral gap property on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Finally the following property holds: either $\varrho_2(P) = \max\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa, \lambda \neq 1\}$ if $\mathcal{U}_\kappa \neq \emptyset$, or $\varrho_2(P) \leq \kappa$ if $\mathcal{U}_\kappa = \emptyset$.

This paper only focusses on the preliminary central step of the previous spectral method, that is to find an accurate bound of $r_{ess}(P)$. More specifically, we prove that, if the target density π is positive and continuous on \mathbb{R} , and if the proposal kernel $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 and satisfies (1) for some $s > 0$, then

$$r_{ess}(P) \leq \alpha_a \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_a := \max(r_a, r'_a + \beta_a) \quad (7)$$

where, for every $a > 0$, the constants r_a, r'_a and β_a are defined by:

$$r_a := \sup_{|x| \leq a} r(x), \quad r'_a := \sup_{|x| > a} r(x), \quad \beta_a := \int_{-s}^s \sup_{|x| > a} \sqrt{t(x, x+u) t(x+u, x)} du. \quad (8)$$

This result is illustrated in Section 2 with Random Walk Metropolis-Hastings (RWMH) kernels for which the proposal Markov kernel is of the form $Q(x, dy) := \Delta(|x - y|) dy$, where $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is an even continuous and compactly supported function.

In [AP07] the quasi-compactness of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ is proved to hold provided that 1) the essential supremum of the rejection probability $r(\cdot)$ with respect to π is bounded away from unity; 2) the operator T associated with the kernel $t(x, y)dy$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Assumption 1) on the rejection probability $r(\cdot)$ is a necessary condition for P to have the spectral gap property (SG₂) (see [RT96]). But this condition, which is quite generic from the definition of $r(\cdot)$ (see Remark 3), is far to be sufficient for P to satisfy (SG₂). The compactness Assumption 2) of [AP07] is quite restrictive, for instance it is not adapted for random walk Metropolis-Hastings kernels. Here this compactness assumption is replaced by the condition $r'_a + \beta_a < 1$. As shown in the examples of Section 2, this condition is adapted to RWMH.

In the discrete state space case, a bound for $r_{ess}(P)$ similar to (7) has been obtained in [HL16]. Next a bound of the spectral gap $\varrho_2(P)$ has been derived in [HL16] from a truncation method for which the control of the essential spectral radius of P is a central step. It is expected that, in the continuous state space case, the bound (7) will provide a similar way to compute the spectral gap $\varrho_2(P)$ of P . This issue, which is much more difficult than in the discrete case, is not addressed in this work.

2 An upper bound for the essential spectral radius of P

Let us state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1 *Assume that*

- (i) π is positive and continuous on \mathbb{R} ;
- (ii) $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 , and satisfies the finite range assumption (1).

For $a > 0$, set $\alpha_a := \max(r_a, r'_a + \beta_a)$, where the constants r_a, r'_a and β_a are defined in (8). Then

$$\forall a > 0, \quad r_{ess}(P) \leq \alpha_a. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3 from Formula (6) by using a suitable decomposition of the iterates P^n involving some Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

Remark 1 Assume that the assumptions (i)-(ii) of Theorem 1 hold. Then, if there exists some $a > 0$ such that $\alpha_a < 1$, P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Suppose moreover that the proposal Markov kernel $Q(x, dy)$ satisfies usual irreducibility and aperiodicity conditions. Then P has the spectral gap property on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Actually, if q is symmetric (i.e. $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$), it can be easily proved that, under the condition $r'_a + \beta_a < 1$, P satisfies the so-called drift condition with respect to $V(x) := 1/\sqrt{\pi(x)}$, so that P is V -geometrically ergodic, that is P has the spectral gap property on the space $(\mathcal{B}_V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ composed of the functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f\|_V := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|/V(x) < \infty$. If furthermore $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\pi(x)} dx < \infty$, then the spectral gap property of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ can be deduced from the V -geometrical ergodicity since P is reversible (see [RR97, Bax05]). However this fact does not provide a priori any precise bound on the essential spectral radius of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Indeed, mention that the results [Wu04, Th. 5.5] provide a comparison between $r_{\text{ess}}(P)$ and $r_{\text{ess}}(P|_{\mathcal{B}_V})$, but unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, no accurate bound of $r_{\text{ess}}(P|_{\mathcal{B}_V})$ is known for Metropolis-Hasting kernels. In particular note that the general bound of $r_{\text{ess}}(P|_{\mathcal{B}_V})$ given in [HL14, Th. 5.2] is of theoretical interest but is not precise, and that the more accurate bound of $r_{\text{ess}}(P|_{\mathcal{B}_V})$ given in [HL14, Th. 5.4] cannot be used here since in general no iterate of P is compact from \mathcal{B}_0 to \mathcal{B}_V , where \mathcal{B}_0 denotes the space of bounded measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the supremum norm. Therefore, the V -geometrical ergodicity of P is not discussed here since the purpose is to bound the essential spectral radius of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$.

Remark 2 If π and q satisfy the assumptions (i)-(ii) of Theorem 1, and if moreover q satisfies the following mild additional condition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in [x - s, x + s], \quad q(x, y) q(y, x) \neq 0, \quad (10)$$

then, for every $a > 0$, we have $r_a < 1$, so that the quasi-compactness of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ holds provided that there exists some $a > 0$ such that $r'_a + \beta_a < 1$. Note that Condition (10) is clearly fulfilled if q is symmetric. To prove the previous assertion on r_a , observe that $r(\cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} (use Lebesgue's theorem). Consequently, if $r_a = 1$ for some $a > 0$, then $r(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in [-a, a]$, but this is impossible from the definition of $r(x_0)$ and Condition (10).

Remark 3 Actually, under the assumptions (i)-(ii) of Theorem 1, the fact that $r_a < 1$ for every $a > 0$, and even the stronger property $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} r(x) < 1$, seem to be quite generic. For instance, if q is of the form $q(x, y) = \Delta(|x - y|)$ for some function Δ and if there exists $\theta > 0$ such that π is increasing on $(-\infty, -\theta]$ and decreasing on $[\theta, +\infty)$, then $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} r(x) < 1$. Thus, for every $a > 0$, we have $r_a < 1$ and $r'_a < 1$. Indeed, first observe that $r_a < 1$ for every $a > 0$ from Remark 2. Consequently, if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} r(x) = 1$, then there exists $(x_n)_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_n |x_n| = +\infty$ and $\lim_n r(x_n) = 1$. Let us prove that this property is impossible under our assumptions. To simplify, suppose that $\lim_n x_n = +\infty$. Then, from the definition of $r(\cdot)$, from our assumptions on q , and finally from Fatou's Lemma, it follows that, for almost every $u \in [-s, s]$ such that $\Delta(u) \neq 0$, we have $\liminf_n \min(1, \pi(x_n + u)/\pi(x_n)) = 0$. But this is impossible since, if $u \in [-s, 0]$ and $x_n \geq \theta + s$, then $\pi(x_n + u) \geq \pi(x_n)$.

Theorem 1 is illustrated with symmetric proposal Markov kernels of the form

$$Q(x, dy) := \Delta(x - y) dy$$

where $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is : 1) an even continuous function ; 2) assumed to be compactly supported on $[-s, s]$ and positive on $(-s, s)$ for some $s > 0$. Then $q(x, y) := \Delta(x - y)$ satisfies (1) and $t(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given by

$$\forall u \in [-s, s], \quad t(x, x + u) := \Delta(u) \min \left(1, \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} \right).$$

Corollary 1 Assume that $q(x, y) := \Delta(x - y)$ with $\Delta(\cdot)$ satisfying the above assumptions and that π is an even positive continuous distribution density such that the following limit exists:

$$\forall u \in [0, s], \quad \tau(u) := \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} \in [0, 1]. \quad (11)$$

Assume that the set $\{u \in [0, s] : \tau(u) \neq 1\}$ has a positive Lebesgue-measure. Then P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ with

$$r_{ess}(P) \leq \alpha_\infty := \max(r_\infty, \gamma_\infty) < 1 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_\infty := 1 - \int_0^s \Delta(u) (1 - \tau(u)^{1/2})^2 du.$$

Proof. We know from Theorem 1 that, for any $a > 0$, $r_{ess}(P) \leq \max(r_a, r'_a + \beta_a)$ with $r_a := \sup_{|x| \leq a} r(x)$, $r'_a := \sup_{|x| > a} r(x)$. It is easily checked that

$$\beta_a = \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \sup_{|x| > a} \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)}}, \sqrt{\frac{\pi(x)}{\pi(x + u)}} \right) du.$$

Note that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r(x) = 1 - \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min \left(1, \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} \right) du.$$

For $u \in [-s, 0]$, $\tau(u)$ is defined as in (11). Then

$$\forall u \in [-s, s], \quad \tau(u) = \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi(y)}{\pi(y - u)} = \frac{1}{\tau(-u)}$$

with the convention $1/0 = +\infty$. Thus, for every $u \in [-s, 0]$, we have $\tau(u) \in [1, +\infty]$. Moreover we obtain for every $u \in [-s, s]$:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} = \tau(-u).$$

since π is an even function. We have for every $a > 0$

$$r'_a = 1 - \min \left(\inf_{x < -a} \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min \left(1, \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} \right) du, \inf_{x > a} \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min \left(1, \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} \right) du \right).$$

Moreover it follows from dominated convergence theorem and from the above remarks that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min \left(1, \frac{\pi(x+u)}{\pi(x)} \right) du = \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min (1, \tau(\pm u)) du$$

from which we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} r'_\infty &:= \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} r'_a \\ &= 1 - \min \left(\int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min (1, \tau(-u)) du, \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min (1, \tau(u)) du \right) \\ &= 1 - \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min (1, \tau(u)) du \quad (\text{since } \Delta \text{ is an even function}) \\ &= 1 - \int_{-s}^0 \Delta(u) du - \int_0^s \Delta(u) \tau(u) du \quad (\text{since } \tau(u) \leq 1 \text{ for } u \in [0, s], \tau(u) \geq 1 \text{ for } u \in [-s, 0]) \\ &= 1 - \int_0^s \Delta(u) [1 + \tau(u)] du. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for every $a > 0$, we have $r_a < 1$ from Remark 2. Moreover $r'_\infty \leq 1/2$ from the last equality. Thus $r_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} r(x) < 1$. Next we obtain for every $a > 0$

$$\beta_a = \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \max \left[\sup_{x < -a} \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi(x+u)}{\pi(x)}}, \sqrt{\frac{\pi(x)}{\pi(x+u)}} \right), \sup_{x > a} \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi(x+u)}{\pi(x)}}, \sqrt{\frac{\pi(x)}{\pi(x+u)}} \right) \right] du$$

and again we deduce from dominated convergence theorem and from the above remarks that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\infty &:= \lim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_a = \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \max \left[\min \left(\tau(-u)^{1/2}, \frac{1}{\tau(-u)^{1/2}} \right), \min \left(\tau(u)^{1/2}, \frac{1}{\tau(u)^{1/2}} \right) \right] du \\ &= \int_{-s}^s \Delta(u) \min \left(\tau(u)^{1/2}, \frac{1}{\tau(u)^{1/2}} \right) du \quad (\text{since } \tau(-u) = \frac{1}{\tau(u)}) \\ &= \int_{-s}^0 \Delta(u) \tau(u)^{-1/2} du + \int_0^s \Delta(u) \tau(u)^{1/2} du \\ &= \int_{-s}^0 \Delta(u) \tau(-u)^{1/2} du + \int_0^s \Delta(u) \tau(u)^{1/2} du \\ &= 2 \int_0^s \Delta(u) \tau(u)^{1/2} du. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$r'_\infty + \beta_\infty = 1 - \int_0^s \Delta(u) [1 + \tau(u) - 2\tau(u)^{1/2}] du = 1 - \int_0^s \Delta(u) (1 - \sqrt{\tau(u)})^2 du < 1$$

since by hypothesis the set $\{u \in [0, s] : \tau(u) \neq 1\}$ has a positive Lebesgue-measure.

Since $r_{ess}(P) \leq \max(r_a, r'_a + \beta_a)$ holds for every $a > 0$, we obtain that $r_{ess}(P) \leq \max(r_\infty, r'_\infty + \beta_\infty) < 1$. Thus P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. \square

Example 2.1 (Laplace distribution) Let $\pi(x) = e^{-|x|}/2$ be the Laplace distribution density, and set $q(x, y) := \Delta(x - y)$ with $\Delta(u) := (1 - |u|) 1_{[-1,1]}(u)$. Then

$$\forall u \in [0, 1], \quad \tau(u) := \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} = e^{-u}.$$

Then

$$\gamma_\infty = 1 - \int_0^1 (1 - u) (1 - e^{-u/2})^2 du = 8e^{-1/2} - e^{-1} - 7/2.$$

From Corollary 1, P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ with $r_{\text{ess}}(P) \leq \max(1 - 1/e, 8e^{-1/2} - e^{-1} - 7/2) = 8e^{-1/2} - e^{-1} - 7/2 \approx 0.9843$ since $r_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} r(x) \leq 1 - 1/e$.

Example 2.2 (Gauss distribution) Let $\pi(x) = e^{-x^2/2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ be the Gauss distribution density, and set $q(x, y) := \Delta(|x - y|)$ with $\Delta(u) := (1 - |u|) 1_{[-1,1]}(u)$. Then

$$\forall u \in (0, 1], \quad \tau(u) := \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi(x + u)}{\pi(x)} = 0,$$

so that

$$\gamma_\infty = 1 - \int_0^1 (1 - u) du = \frac{1}{2}.$$

From Corollary 1, P is quasi-compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ with $r_{\text{ess}}(P) \leq \max(0.156, 0.5) = 0.5$ since $r_\infty \leq 1 - e^{-1/2} - e^{1/8} \int_0^1 (1 - u) e^{-(u+1)^2/2} du \leq 0.156$.

In view of the quasi-compactness approach presented in Introduction for computing the rate $\varrho_2(P)$ in (SG₂), the bound $r_{\text{ess}}(P) \leq 0.5$ obtained for Gauss distribution (for instance) implies that, for every $\kappa \in (0.5, 1)$, the set of the spectral values λ of P on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ satisfying $\kappa \leq |\lambda| \leq 1$ is composed of finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Moreover, from aperiodicity and irreducibility, $\lambda = 1$ is the only eigenvalue of P with modulus one and it is a simple eigenvalue of P . Consequently the spectral gap property (SG₂) holds with $\varrho_2(P)$ given by

- $\varrho_2(P) = \max\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa, \lambda \neq 1\}$ if $\mathcal{U}_\kappa \neq \emptyset$,
- $\varrho_2(P) \leq \kappa$ if $\mathcal{U}_\kappa = \emptyset$ (in particular, if for every $\kappa \in (0.5, 1)$ we have $\mathcal{U}_\kappa = \emptyset$, then we could conclude that $\varrho_2(P) \leq 0.5$).

The numerical computation of the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa$, $\lambda \neq 1$, is a difficult issue. Even to know whether the set $\mathcal{U}_\kappa \setminus \{1\}$ is empty or not seems to be difficult. In the discrete state space case (i.e $P = (P(i, j))_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}}$), this problem has been solved by using a weak perturbation method involving some finite truncated matrices derived from P (see [HL16]). In the continuous state space case, a perturbation method could be also considered, but it raises a priori difficult theoretical and numerical issues.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

For any bounded linear operator U on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ we define

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\pi), \quad U_a f := 1_{[-a,a]} \cdot Uf \quad \text{and} \quad U_{a^c} f := 1_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-a,a]} \cdot Uf.$$

Obviously U_a and U_{a^c} are bounded linear operators on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, and $U = U_a + U_{a^c}$. Define $Rf = rf$ with function $r(\cdot)$ given in (3). Recall that T is the operator associated with kernel $T(x, dy) = t(x, y)dy$. Then the M-H kernel P defined in (4) writes as follows:

$$P = R + T = R_a + R_{a^c} + T_a + T_{a^c}$$

with $R_{a^c}R_a = R_aR_{a^c} = 0$ and $R_aT_{a^c} = 0$.

Lemma 1 *The operators $T_a, T_{a^c}R_a$ and $(R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a$ for any $n \geq 1$ are compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$.*

Proof. Using the detailed balance equation (5), we obtain for any $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$

$$\begin{aligned} (T_a f)(x) &= 1_{[-a,a]}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) t(x, y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) 1_{[-a,a]}(x) \frac{t(x, y)}{\pi(y)} \pi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) t_a(x, y) \pi(y) dy \quad \text{with} \quad t_a(x, y) := 1_{[-a,a]}(x) \frac{t(y, x)}{\pi(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Function $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ is supposed to be bounded on \mathbb{R}^2 , so is $t(\cdot, \cdot)$. From $\inf_{|x| \leq a} \pi(x) > 0$ it follows that $t_a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^2 . Consequently $t_a \in \mathbb{L}^2(\pi \otimes \pi)$, so that T_a is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. In particular T_a is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$.

Now observe that

$$(T_{a^c} R_a f)(x) = 1_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-a,a]}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[-a,a]}(y) r(y) f(y) t(x, y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) k_a(x, y) \pi(y) dy$$

where $k_a(x, y) := 1_{[-a,a]}(y) 1_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-a,a]}(x) r(y) t(x, y) \pi(y)^{-1}$. Then $T_{a^c} R_a$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ since $k_a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^2 from our assumptions. Thus $T_{a^c} R_a$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$.

Let us prove by induction that $(R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ for any $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1$, $(R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})R_a = T_{a^c}R_a$ is compact. Next,

$$(R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a = (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^{n-1} (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c}) R_a = (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^{n-1} T_{a^c} R_a.$$

Since $T_{a^c} R_a$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ and the set of compact operators on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ is an ideal, $(R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. \square

Lemma 2 *For every $n \geq 1$, there exists a compact operator K_n on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ such that*

$$P^n = K_n + R_a^n + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ we have $P = K_1 + R_a + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})$ with $K_1 := T_a$ compact by Lemma 1. Now assume that the conclusion of Lemma 2 holds for some $n \geq 1$. Since the set of compact operators on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ forms a two-sided operator ideal, we obtain the following equalities for some compact operator K'_{n+1} on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{n+1} = P^n P &= (K_n + R_a^n + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n)(K_1 + R_a + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})) \\ &= K'_{n+1} + R_a^{n+1} + R_a^n R_{a^c} + R_a^n T_{a^c} + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^{n+1} \\ &= [K'_{n+1} + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a] + R_a^{n+1} + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the expected conclusion holds true for P^{n+1} since $K_{n+1} := K'_{n+1} + (R_{a^c} + T_{a^c})^n R_a$ is compact on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ from Lemma 1. \square

Theorem 1 is deduced from the next proposition which states that $\|T_{a^c}\|_2 \leq \beta_a$. Indeed, observe that $\|R_a\|_2 \leq r_a$ and $\|R_{a^c}\|_2 \leq r'_a$. Set $\alpha_a := \max(r_a, r'_a + \beta_a)$. Then Lemma 2 and $\|T_{a^c}\|_2 \leq \beta_a$ give

$$\|P^n - K_n\|_2 \leq \|R_a\|_2^n + (\|R_{a^c}\|_2 + \|T_{a^c}\|_2)^n \leq 2\alpha_a^n.$$

The expected inequality $r_{ess}(P) \leq \alpha_a$ in Theorem 1 then follows from Formula (6).

Proposition 1 *For any $a > 0$, we have $\|T_{a^c}\|_2 \leq \beta_a$.*

Proof. Lemma 3 below shows that, for any bounded and continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have $\|T_{a^c} f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \leq \beta_a \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)}$. Then Inequality $\|T_{a^c}\|_2 \leq \beta_a$ of Proposition 1 follows from a standard density argument using that $\|T\|_2 \leq \|P\|_2 = 1$ and that the space of bounded and continuous functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} is dense in $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. \square

Lemma 3 *For any bounded and continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\|T_{a^c} f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \leq \int_{-s}^s \left[\int_{\{|x|>a\}} |f(x+u)|^2 t(x, x+u)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} du \leq \beta_a \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded and continuous function. Set $B := \mathbb{R} \setminus [-a, a]$. Then it follows from (1) that

$$(T_{a^c} f)(x) = 1_B(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) t(x, y) dy = 1_B(x) \int_{-s}^s f(x+u) t(x, x+u) du. \quad (13)$$

For $n \geq 1$ and for $k = 0, \dots, n$, set $u_k := -s + 2sk/n$ and define the following functions: $h_k(x) := 1_B(x) f(x + u_k) t(x, x + u_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\int_B \left| \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right|^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left\| \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \leq \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|h_k\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \\ &\leq \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\int_B |f(x + u_k)|^2 t(x, x + u_k)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Below we prove that, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, the left hand side of (14) converges to $\|T_{a^c} f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)}$ and that the right hand side of (14) converges to the right hand side of the first inequality in (12). Define

$$\forall x \in B, \quad \chi_n(x) := \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) = \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(x + u_k) t(x, x + u_k).$$

From Riemann's integral it follows that

$$\forall x \in B, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \chi_n(x) = \int_{-s}^s f(x + u) t(x, x + u) du$$

since the function $u \mapsto f(x + u) t(x, x + u)$ is continuous on $[-s, s]$ from the assumptions of Theorem 1. Note that $\sup_n \sup_{x \in B} |\chi_n(x)| < \infty$ since f and t are bounded functions. From Lebesgue's theorem and from (13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_B \left| \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) \right|^2 \pi(x) dx &= \int_B \left| \int_{-s}^s f(x + u) t(x, x + u) du \right|^2 \pi(x) dx \\ &= \|T_{a^c} f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Next, observe that

$$\frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\int_B |f(x + u_k)|^2 t(x, x + u_k)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_k)$$

with ψ defined by

$$\psi(u) := \left[\int_B |f(x + u)|^2 t(x, x + u)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using the assumptions Theorem 1, it follows from Lebesgue's theorem that ψ is continuous. Consequently Riemann integral gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2s}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\int_B |f(x + u_k)|^2 t(x, x + u_k)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{-s}^s \psi(u) du. \quad (16)$$

The first inequality in (12) follows from (14) by using (15) and (16).

Let us prove the second inequality in (12). The detailed balance equation (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-s}^s \left[\int_{\{|x|>a\}} |f(x + u)|^2 t(x, x + u)^2 \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &= \int_{-s}^s \left[\int_{\{|x|>a\}} |f(x + u)|^2 t(x, x + u) t(x, x + u) \pi(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &= \int_{-s}^s \left[\int_{\{|x|>a\}} t(x, x + u) t(x + u, x) |f(x + u)|^2 \pi(x + u) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} du \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \int_{-s}^s \sup_{|x|>a} \sqrt{t(x, x + u) t(x + u, x)} du = \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)} \beta_a. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Conclusion

The study of the iterates of a Metropolis-Hasting kernel P is of great interest to estimate the numbers of iterations required to achieve the convergence in the Metropolis-Hasting algorithm. In conclusion we discuss this issue by comparing the expected results depending on whether P acts on \mathcal{B}_V or on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Recall that the V -geometrical ergodicity for P (see Remark 1) writes as: there exist $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $C_\rho > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad \|P^n f - \pi(f)\|_V \leq C_\rho \rho^n \|f\|_V. \quad (\text{SG}_V)$$

Let $\varrho_V(P)$ be the infimum bound of the real numbers ρ such that (SG_V) holds true.

1. In most of cases, the number $\varrho_V(P)$ is not known for Metropolis-Hasting kernels. The upper bounds of $\varrho_V(P)$ derived from drift and minorization inequalities seem to be poor and difficult to improve, excepted in stochastically monotone case (e.g. see [MT96, Sec. 6] and [Bax05]). Consequently the inequality $\varrho_2(P) \leq \varrho_V(P)$ (see [Bax05, Th. 6.1]) is not relevant here. Observe that applying the quasi-compactness approach on \mathcal{B}_V would allow us to estimate the value of $\varrho_V(P)$, but in practice this method cannot be efficient since no accurate bound of the essential spectral radius of P on \mathcal{B}_V is known.
2. The present paper shows that considering the action on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$ rather than on \mathcal{B}_V of a Metropolis-Hasting kernel P enables us to benefit from the richness of Hilbert spaces. The notion of Hilbert-Schmidt operators plays an important role for obtaining our bound (9). The reversibility of P , that is P is self-adjoint on $\mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$, implies that any upper bound ρ of $\varrho_2(P)$ gives the inequality $\|P^n f - \Pi f\|_2 \leq \rho^n \|f\|_2$ for every $n \geq 1$ and every $f \in \mathbb{L}^2(\pi)$. Consequently any such ρ provides an efficient information to estimate the numbers of iterations required to achieve the convergence in the Metropolis-Hasting algorithm.

From our bound (7) it can be expected that the quasi-compactness method (cf. Introduction) will give a numerical procedure for estimating $\varrho_2(P)$ in the continuous state space case.

References

- [AP07] Yves F. Atchadé and François Perron. On the geometric ergodicity of Metropolis-Hastings algorithms. *Statistics*, 41(1):77–84, 2007.
- [Bax05] P. H. Baxendale. Renewal theory and computable convergence rates for geometrically ergodic Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 15(1B):700–738, 2005.
- [FHL12] D. Ferré, L. Hervé, and J. Ledoux. Limit theorems for stationary Markov processes with L^2 -spectral gap. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 48:396–423, 2012.
- [Hen93] H. Hennion. Sur un théorème spectral et son application aux noyaux lipchitziens. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118:627–634, 1993.

- [HL14] L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Approximating Markov chains and V -geometric ergodicity via weak perturbation theory. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124(1):613–638, 2014.
- [HL16] L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Computable bounds of ℓ^2 -spectral gap for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices. *JAP*, To appear in 2016.
- [HP10] L. Hervé and F. Pène. The Nagaev-Guivarc’h method via the Keller-Liverani theorem. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 138:415–489, 2010.
- [MT93] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. *Markov chains and stochastic stability*. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 1993.
- [MT96] K. L. Mengersen and R. L. Tweedie. Rates of convergence of the Hastings and Metropolis algorithms. *Ann. Statist.*, 24(1):101–121, 1996.
- [RR97] G. O. Roberts and J. S. Rosenthal. Geometric ergodicity and hybrid Markov chains. *Elect. Comm. in Probab.*, 2:13–25, 1997.
- [RR04] G. O. Roberts and J. S. Rosenthal. General state space Markov chains and MCMC algorithms. *Probab. Surv.*, 1:20–71 (electronic), 2004.
- [RT96] G. O. Roberts and R. L. Tweedie. Geometric convergence and central limit theorems for multidimensional Hastings and Metropolis algorithms. *Biometrika*, 83(1):95–110, 1996.
- [Wu04] L. Wu. Essential spectral radius for Markov semigroups. I. Discrete time case. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128(2):255–321, 2004.